

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/476,689		12/30/1999	KENT C.B. STALKER	ACS-52008(18	8160	
24201	7590	03/16/2005		EXAMINER		
FULWIDE	ER PATT	ON LEE & UTEC	MAIORINO, ROZ			
HOWARD	HUGHES	CENTER				
6060 CENT	ER DRIV	E		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TENTH FL	OOR			3763	<u>.</u>	
LOS ANGE	ELES, CA	90045			_	

DATE MAILED: 03/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/476,689	STALKER, KENT C	.B.
, . ,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Roz Maiorino	3763	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess
THE REPLY FILED 01 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appet Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice I) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	ly to a ation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S	ee MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened by above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	efee. The appropriate exte the final Office action; or (ension fee under (2) as set forth in
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 	s Brief must be filed within the p R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	erially reducing or s	implifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).			
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: So	or reconsideration has been con ee Continuation Sheet.	sidered but does NC	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	it(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b rould be rejected is provided be	o) will be entered low or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		
Claim(s) allowed:		•	
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:	•		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	

10. Other: ____

RM

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed 2/1/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that filtering podion being expandable by the fluid flow in the vessel, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a àtructural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in 'order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior ad. If a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior ad. See In re Casey, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963) applicant emphsis the language used is a directional member is made from a pliable material capable of being expanded by fluid flow' the term capable is functional language and Broom's appratus is capable of having its directional member opening with fluid flow.

NIDITO:

Micros.

TECHNOLS.

1231